



## UNITED STES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Offic

Addr ss: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		AT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/089,011	06/02/98	BANSAL		P 2-	-9	
AT & T CORP.			コ	EXAMINER		
		TM02/1102		ALVAREZ, R		
P.O. BOX 411				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MIDLETOWN NJ 07748-48		1		2162		
				DATE MAILED:	11/02/01	/5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

	Annlinetine No.	Applicant(a)						
· ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/089,011	BANSAL ET AL.						
•	Examin r	Art Unit						
TI MANU INO DATE - A Nice - constitution of	Raquel Alvarez	2162						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app ars on th cov r she t with th correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 10/19/01 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
a) The period for reply expires 4_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);								
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.						
NOTE:								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendment						
∴ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.								
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.								
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disap	proved by the Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	- Caller						
0. ☐ Other:								
ERICW. STAMBER PRIMARY EXAMINER								
	•							

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In Conmy, a meeting for a tentative time and date is selected by the coordinator(user). The attendee gets to choose if the time arranged is a busy time, a conflict or if it's Okay. If the attendee selects that the time selected is a busy time or a conflict then the attendee is presented with a drop out menu where he can select an alternative meeting's time and date. Based on the attendee's recommended meeting times and dates, a final date is selected. Therefore the attendee's response can change the time of the appointment.

In Jones, the system determines if the user(bus driver) is going to be late and based on this information the attendee (passengers) are notified of the user's late arrival.

In response to applicant's argument that the examiner's conclusion of obviousness is based upon improper hindsight reasoning, it must be recognized that any judgment on obviousness is in a sense necessarily a reconstruction based upon hindsight reasoning. But so long as it takes into account only knowledge which was within the level of ordinary skill at the time the claimed invention was made, and does not include knowledge gleaned only from the applicant's disclosure, such a reconstruction is proper. See In re McLaughlin, 443 F.2d 1392, 170 USPQ 209 (CCPA 1971).